

March 17, 2015

TO:

The Honorable Donald G. Horner

Chairperson, Board of Education

FROM:

Stephen Schatz, Board of Education Designee

Sean Bacon, Board of Education Designee

SUBJECT: Approval of Hilo High School's School Community Council (SCC) Waiver

Regarding School Schedules

1. **RECOMMENDATION**

That the Board of Education (BOE) approves Hilo High School's SCC Waiver Request allowing the school to implement a rotating Friday bell schedule that includes 1,662 minutes of student learning on one week and 1,638 minutes of learning on the following week, which over a two-week cycle, meet the statutory requirement of a minimum of 1,650 weekly minutes.

2. RECOMMENDED EFFECTIVE DATE

Upon approval by the BOE.

3. RECOMMENDED COMPLIANCE DATE

Not applicable.

4. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

a. Conditions leading to the recommendation

Section 302A-1124 to 1127, Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), authorizes schools to request waivers from existing state agency rules, policies, regulations, and procedures as well as exceptions to collective bargaining agreements as negotiated in accordance with current collective bargaining agreements and the provisions of Chapter 89, HRS.

b. Previous action of the Board on the same or similar matter

None.

AN AFFIRMATIVE ACTION AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

c. Other policies affected

Hawaii Revised Statutes 302A-251 (Act 167) - Student Learning Time.

Article VI, Teaching Conditions and Hours; Section CC, Work Time Distribution, Weekly Totals Within the 7-Hour Day, 5-Day Week.

d. Arguments in support of the recommendation

Hilo High School has requested approval to implement a bell schedule that includes 1,662 minutes of student learning on one week and 1,638 minutes of learning on the following week, which over a two-week cycle, meet the statutory requirement of a minimum of 1,650 weekly minutes.

Also, approval is requested to implement 1,369 teacher instruction minutes on one week and 1,285 teacher instruction minutes the following week, which over a two-week cycle, meet the contractual obligations for teacher instructional minutes.

The request is supported by the following arguments:

- The proposed rotating bell schedule will support student success because it will allow all students to have equal access to the instructional cycle. This means instructional minutes for all periods are consistent, reducing the opportunity for some students to fall behind.
- Evidence supporting student outcomes include: 1) walk through and EES data;
 2) Academic Review Team process; 3) focus school implementation; 4) graduation rate; 5) math and reading SGP; 6) SQS; and 7) Tripod Student Survey.

e. Arguments against the recommendation

None.

f. Findings and conclusions of the Board committee

The BOE designees, Hawaii State Teachers Association BU 05 Committee on SCC Exception Review (February 24, 2015) and the Hawaii Government Employees Association BU 06 Committee on SCC Exception Review (March 5, 2015) have all agreed to this request.

g. Other agencies or departments of the State of Hawaii involved in the action

None.

The Honorable Donald G. Horner March 17, 2015 Page 3

h. Possible reaction of the public, professional organizations, unions, DOE staff and/or others to the recommendations

1,

Positive.

i. Educational implications

As stated in the school's SCC exception request.

j. Personnel implications

None.

k. Facilities implications

None.

I. Financial implications

None.

5. OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY RECOMMENDATIONS

None.

SS/SB:DM:la

Attachments

c: Superintendent
Office of Curriculum, Instruction and Student Support

Instructions:

Principals: Fill out this form and send it to your CAS along with the SCC Waiver/Exception Signature Page, and a copy of the HSTA Process Check Email.

CASs: review these documents to ensure the request aligns with schools' Academic Plans and will serve to improve teaching and learning. Sign the Signature Page and upload this form, the Signature Form, and the HSTA Process Check Email copy to either the Department Intranet page for a) School Schedules page (for Schedule-related requests) or b) SCC page (for non-Schedule-related requests).

	Hilo High School	Complex Hilo Waiakea	
Туре	New	Strive HI Classification	Focus
	Renewal	(SY14-15)	
		7	
and the second of the second			res or articles within the collective bargaining jects below or describe in the box labeled "Othe
Select all that a	poly:		
	nedule - HRS 302A-251: Minin	num student learning time	
	nedule - CBA Article VI, D, 1: I		
School Sch	nedule - CBA Article VI, E: Co	nsecutive Instructional Time	
School Sch	nedule - CBA Article VI, X, 3: L	ength of Preparation Period	
School Sci	nedule - CBA Article VI, Y: Du	ty Free Lunch Period	
School Sci	nedule - CBA Article VI, C: Wo	ork Time Distribution, Weekly Total	als within the 7-Hour Day, 5-Day Week
Parent-Tea	acher Conferences - DOE Reg	gulation 4510.3 Released Time fo	or Conferences
—	e Procedures - DOE School A	ttendance Procedures, Revised	August 2001
Attendance	Grados - CRA Articlo VI P. Ev	valuation of Students / Grading Pr	reparation
	stades - CDA Atticle VI, N. LV		

Applicable time: Describe the time period for which the school seeks to apply this relied (e.g. SY15-16). Time period may be no longer than five year. The Superintendent, BOE, or Review Committee may limit their approval to a time period shorter than requested. Keep in mind waiver exceptions can only be approved through the end of the contract and Act 52 / Act 167 waivers can only be approved for one year.

Decisionmaking Process: Provide a summary of activities that demonstrate an inclusive, collaborative and consensus-building decision-making process. Please include information about the final outcome, including achievement of consensus or specific outcome of vote (e.g. 92 out of 100 teachers voted in favor of the change).

Date	Meeting Type	Online Survey	Outcome	Open from 11/6-18, provide input
Date	Meeting Type	B5	Outcome	11/9 - Review of online surveys
Date	Meeting Type	EC Meeting	Outcome	11/19 - Options shared with DH
Date	Meeting Type	Faculty Mtg	Outcome	11/21 - Schedule options discussed
Date	Meeting Type	DH/Dept	Outcome	11/24 - Dpt Discussions on Bell Sch
Date	Meeting Type	Vote	Outcome	11/25 - Non-Cons, put to 66-2/3rd
Date	Meeting Type	Vote	Outcome	12/1 - 66-2/3rd vote - Not met
Date	Meeting Type	Faculty Mtg	Outcome	12/10 - Options Discussed
Date	Meeting Type	SCC Meeting	Outcome	12/1 & 1/26 - Shareout
Date	Meeting Type	Faculty	Outcome	1/28 - Faculty Meeting
Date	Meeting Type	Faculty VOTE	Outcome	1/30, 2/2 (consensus), 2/3 (66-2/3)
Date	Meeting Type	SCC Meeting	Outcome	2/3 - Waiver Request

SCHOOL SCHEDULES: HRS 302A-251 - Minimum Student Learning Time

SUMMARIZE YOUR REQUEST (What is the proposed amount of student learning time and by how much does this differ from statutory requirements?)

The proposed student learning time is based on a rotating Friday schedule. This means on odd period Fridays (period 1,3,5,7) student learning time equals 1662 or 12 minutes above the statutory requirements of 1650. Similarly, on even period Fridays (period 2,4,6) student learning time equals 1638 or 12 minutes below the statutory requirements. When calculating the average between the two Fridays, it complies with the statutory requirements of 1650.

Why is the school not able to, or requesting not to, meet the statutory requirements?

Hilo High School is requesting to not meet the statutory requirements because we are proposing a rotating Friday bell schedule.

How will time be better used to support student success?

The proposed rotating bell schedule will support student success because it will allow all students to have equal access to the instructional cycle. This means instructional minutes for all periods are consistent, reducing the opportunity for some students to fall behind because

What evidence is there that the school is supporting successful student outcomes despite not meeting the minimum learning time requirements?

Evidence supporting student outcomes despite not meeting the minimum learning requirements on even Friday weeks include; (a) walk through and EES data, (b) Academic Review Team process, (c) Focus school implementation, (d) Graduation Rate, (e) Math and Reading SGP, (f) SQS, and (g) Tripod Student Survey.

(If renewal): What evidence exists to demonstrate the positive impact of the previously approved request?

N/A not renewing, proposing a new schedule

SCHOOL SCHEDULES: CBA - Teacher Time (e.g. work day, instructional time, preparation or lunch periods, work time distribution, etc.)

SUMMARIZE YOUR REQUEST: How does the proposed schedule differ from contractual obligations?

Hilo High school's proposed schedule differs from the contractual obligation in the following ways Odd rotating Friday schedule (periods 1 3 5 and 7), which equals 1369 minutes is 84 minutes over the contractual amount of 1285. Even rotating Friday schedule (periods 2,4, and 6), which equals 1201, is 84 minutes below the contractual amount.

Why is the school not able to, or requesting not to, meet the contractual obligations?

Hilo High School is requesting to not meet the contractual obligation because we are proposing a rotating Friday bell schedule.

How will time be better used to support student and educator success?

The proposed rotating bell schedule will support student success because it will allow all students to have equal access to the instructional cycle. This means instructional minutes for all periods are consistent, reducing the opportunity for some students to fall behind because of holidays/school closure. Inclusive to this proposal is the effort to improve teacher success by

(If renewal) What evidence exists to demonstrate the positive impact of the previously approved request?

N/A not renewing, proposing a new schedule

Draft Rotating Friday

Hito High School Bell Schedule 15-16 SY

Monday		Tuesday		Wednesday		Thursday		Friday.1357		Friday.246	
8:00-8:05	Opening	8:00-8:05	Opening	8:00-8:05	Opening	8:00-8:05	Opening	8:00-8:05	Opening	8:00-8:05	Opening
8:05-8:10	Bulletin	8:05-8:10	Bulletin	8:05-8:10	Builetin	8:05-8:10	Bulletin	8:05-8:10	Bulletin	8:05-8:10	Bulletin
8:10-9:34	2	8:10-9:34	1	8:10-9:34	2	8:10-9:34	1	8:10-9:34	1	8:10-9:34	2
9:34-9:49	Recess	9:34-9:40	Passing	9:34-9:49	Recess	9:34-9:40	Passing	9:34-9:40	Passing	9:34-9:49	Recess
9:49-9:55	Passing	9:40-11:04	3	9:49-9:55	Passing	9:40-11:04	3	9:40-11:04	3	9:49-9:55	Passing
9:55-10:20	Advisory	11:04-11:34	Lunch	9:55-11:19	4	11:04-11:34	Lunch	11:04-11:34	Lunch	9:55-11:19	4
10:20-10:26	Passing	11:34-11:40	Passing	11:19-11:49	Lunch	11:34-11:40	Passing	11:34-11:40	Passing	11:19-11:49	Lunch
10:26-11:50	4	11:40-1:04	5	11:49-11:55	Passing	11:40-1:04	5	11:40-1:04	5	11:49 11:55	Passing
11:50-12:20	Lunch	1:04-1:19	Recess	11:55-1:19	6	1:04-1:19	Recess	1:04-1:19	Recess	11:55-1:19	6
12:20-12:26	Passing	1:19-1:25	Passing	1:19-1:44	Study Hall	1:19-1:25	Passing	1:19-1:25	Passing	1:19-2:19	Study Hall
12:26-1:50	6	1:25-2:49	7	1:44-1:49	Closing	1:25-2:49	7	1:25-2:49	7	2:19-2:24	Closing
1:50-2:15	Study Hall	2:49-2:54	Closing	1:49-3:00	Meeting	2:49-2:54	Closing	2:49-2:54	Closing		
2:15-2:20	Closing				70						
2:20-3:00	Meeting										

Summary

HSTA Contract	Contract	Sched.1357	5ched.246	Average	Difference
Teacher Instructional time		1344	1176	1260	
Advisory		25	25	25	
Total Teacher Instuctional Time	1285	1369	1201	1285	0
Teacher Prep Time	225	180	270	225	0
Lunch	150	150	150	150	0
Other:			Major de la companya		
Opening		25	25	25	-
Homeroom		25	25	25	
Recess		75	75	75	
Passing		84	78	81	
Study Hall		50	110	80	
Closing		25	25	25	
Meetings		111	111	111	
Total Other	440	395	449	422	-18
ACT 167	Law	Sched_1357	Sched.246	Average	Difference
Instructional Time (in class)	TOTAL VENT IN	1512	1428	1470	
Advisory		25	25	25	
Study Hall		50	110	80	
Homeroom		25	25	25	
Opening		25	25	25	
Closing		25	25	25	
Total	1650	1662	1638	1650	0